



Guideline

Employment of foreign-trained doctors

(October 2021)

Part 1 | Case groups and their legal evaluation

Many foreign-trained doctors who assist with patient care in German hospitals or outpatient facilities are not aware of the legal classification of their respective activities and the consequences. Is it job shadowing, an internship, or regular employment?

For some time now, company and personnel committees in inpatient and outpatient care institutions have also had to increasingly address how they deal with their employees' participation rights in the application, selection, and recruitment of foreign-trained doctors. The number of these colleagues is constantly increasing as a result of political and economic instability in many countries and the shortage of skilled workers in Germany.

Between the taking effect of the Federal Recognition Act in April 2012 and December 2020 31,770 physicians who received their basic medical training outside the European Union/European Economic Area/Switzerland and 21,519 doctors who were trained within the European Union/European Economic Area/Switzerland applied for recognition of their qualification. Most physicians who were trained outside the European Union/European Economic Area/Switzerland received their basic medical training in Syria (5,007), the Russian Federation (2,769), Egypt (2,406) and the Ukraine (2,220).

This leaflet is designed to facilitate dealing with various situations and providing supporting arguments for the foreign-trained doctors themselves, as well as the employee representatives on site.

Case studies

1. Doctors who complete postgraduate training and aim for the German medical specialist examination ("guest doctors")

Especially in the past foreign-trained doctors, particularly those from third-party countries, came to Germany to undergo their training in medical specialties. They often received financial aid ("grants") in various amounts from their home countries. The background was mostly bilateral agreements between hospital operators and their home country or country agreements. The doctors worked either with a limited licence to practice ("Berufserlaubnis", under the Recognition Act up to a maximum of 2 years, especially in preparation for the knowledge test in the absence of equivalence of training) or with full licence to practice ("Approbation", if there is equivalence of training).

Group 1: Foreign-trained doctors who received a "grant" directly from their home country and therefore did not receive collective bargaining remuneration according to the perspective of the employer/operators of the hospital. Often, the employee/personnel council was not informed of the application or appointment. The employment contract - if there was one - only included a note about the grant in the compensation agreement. Social security contributions and payroll taxes were therefore not deducted. Often, even in other working conditions, there were differences from regularly employed colleagues, for example, participation in on-call services was not provided, etc.

Legal review:

At the beginning of their employment, the foreign-trained doctors are not only in an (administrative) postgraduate training relationship, but also in an employment relationship, which means that they are subject to social insurance and payroll tax. For the individual legal areas, this results in:

- Right of postgraduate training: The training regulations of the Medical Chambers regulate that postgraduate training is provided within the framework of an appropriately remunerated medical occupation (see § 4 para. 2 M-WBO) and thus correspond to the remuneration of comparable German employees. In the hospital sector, this means the relevant union rate. If this requirement is not met, the doctor will not be permitted to take the specialist examination in many chambers.
- Employment law: The employment relationship and the relevant collective agreement or § 612 BGB ("usual remuneration") result in a right to collective fair pay.
- Social security and tax law: Social contributions and payroll taxes must be paid on the owed (rate) salary.

On these three points there is now agreement between the MB and the German Hospital Federation (see circular from the DKG No. 032/2015 to their member associations of January 20, 2015, article "Foreign guest doctors in German hospitals", hospital 6/2015, as well as article Dr. jur. Eufinger, "The legal status of guest doctors in hospitals", GesR 1/2016, page 8 ff).

Group 2: Foreign-trained doctors whose recruitment/employment at the hospitals follow the recommendations of the DKG and have the wage "refinanced" by the home country (see circular 32/15 supra).

The DKG is in favour of the solution of reimbursing the full wage costs (gross remuneration plus employer's social insurance) from the home country, paying the net amount to the foreign doctor, and deducting wage tax and social insurance contributions. The alternative solution of the DKG provides for the re-transfer of the net salary to the home country so that it can be paid from there to the foreign-trained doctor.

Legal review:

In principle, payment of the work salary by third parties is permissible. At least in hospitals, which - regardless of their legal status - are mainly in the public sector, the criteria for allocating (postgraduate training) positions as a result of Article 33 para. 2 of the Basic Law are only "suitability, capability, and professional performance" of the applicant, but not economic reasons (such as refinancing wage costs).

2. Doctors who are employed for a limited period to expand their knowledge and abilities (distinction between employees - job shadowers - interns) - applicability of the minimum wage law

Foreign-trained doctors are also often employed in hospitals for several weeks/months, without this being explicitly linked with the objective of Board recognition.

Different case groups can be distinguished here (a non-exhaustive example):

Group 1: Hospitals employ foreign-trained doctors without specialist medical recognition, mostly from third-party countries, within the framework of a several-month "job shadowing" or "internship" (the name varies). As with a probationary period, it is to be clarified whether the shadower/intern is suitable as a candidate for postgraduate training at the respective hospital. The doctor has a contract for the relevant period, but does not receive compensation. Officially, he/she only accompanies everyday clinical practice, but - at least according to the contract - is not medically active (see Bremen pilot project, *Weser-Kurier* of 1/03/2015, "Hospitals are looking for young doctors"; Only shadowing - doctor from Syria may not work "WAZ online 6/04/16).

Group 2: Doctors trained in third-party countries do an internship during the period in which they are waiting (due to lack of equivalence of their training) to take their assessment test and/or language test (or "job shadowing") of at least three months' duration.

Group 3: The purpose of the job shadowing/internship/activity is to enable the foreign (specialist) doctor to expand his/her skills and knowledge in clinical practice (see and learn certain methods of operation/techniques/research processes, deepen existing knowledge, etc.).

Legal assessment

The following criteria can be used to define a **distinction between job shadowing or an internship and employment**:

Job shadowing: A legal definition of the concept of "shadowing" in medicine is not yet available, but it can be used as jurisprudence. According to this, shadowing is a "purely observational activity by as yet unapproved participants, who only *perform some medical activities in the interest of learning* and is usually for a few days or weeks" (SG Marburg v. 26/11/2008 - S 12 KA 459/07).

This definition is consistent with that of the general language use: Work shadowing means "observing a jobholder at work with the aim of learning how to exercise that function" (Onpulsion Wirtschaftslexikon) or the "recommendations for further medical education" by the German Medical Association (as of 24/04/2015): "Shadowing is for acquiring, deepening, and improving knowledge and skills... work shadowers participate at *no cost* in whole or in part with the daily work of their shadowing site".

For the definition of this "**work shadowing**", it is therefore important that the shadower primarily observes and does not work in the sense of medically treating the patient (see also PM ÄK Bremen 18/01/16 and writing by Bremer Economics Senator, "Only accompanying and observing is permitted" - keyword: "Hands behind your back")

Legal consequence:

A compensation claim is not possible, not even on the minimum wage pursuant to § 22 MiLoG (this is also below in "Internship"). The doctor is usually given a job shadowing contract.

The DKG has also dealt with the issue and recommends to the hospitals, due to the as yet lacking jurisprudence on the minimum wage law (MiLoG), that minimum wage should be

paid including in the case of work shadowing (see circular from the DKG No. 032/2015 a.a.O.).

Internship: Since the minimum wage law only came into force on 1/01/2015, there is no jurisprudence to clarify whether it is an internship in an individual case which creates the right to minimum wage according to § 22 para. 1 MiLoG in conjunction with § 26 Bundesbildungsgesetz (BBiG) and very little literature.

According to the new legal definition, an intern is "anyone who... undergoes a certain occupational activity for a limited period of time to acquire practical knowledge and experience in order to prepare for professional activity, without it being vocational training as defined by the Vocational Training Act or comparable practical training."

The following demarcation criteria between internship and job shadowing or employment relationship can be used:

- Interns are subject to *the employer's right* of action. For this reason, interns are not shadowers, who "do not undertake any kind of work, but are only guests in a company in order to get to know the work methods without becoming employed themselves. In the case of the work shadowing relationship, the focus is on acquiring knowledge and skills through observation and explanations. They are subject only to domiciliary rights, but not to the management right of the business owner." (Richert/Nimmerjahn, comment for the MiLoG 2015, § 22 Rdnr.34)
- In contrast to "real" employment, the education purpose of an internship, i.e. learning the necessary professional skills, knowledge, capabilities, and experience, and not the performance of work, is the priority (see Picker/Sausmikat, "Minimum wage as an exception?", NZA 17/2014, 942).
- Paid employment and not the acceptance of an internship (and, more importantly, work shadowing) also applies if the respective medical activity is indispensable for the respective patient as well as for continuing to provide medical care, and the foreign-trained doctor is employed for his/her already acquired abilities instead of a (postgraduate training) doctor (BAG of 27/10/1960 - 5 AZR 427/59).

Legal consequence:

From the affirmation of a - voluntary - internship according to the above criteria, a minimum wage is generally applied. However, there must be a limited licence to practice medicine (Berufserlaubnis) at the least.

Exceptions:

Not subject to minimum wage are (voluntary) **internships within the framework of certain preparatory courses**, which are, for example, for preparing for an assessment test.

These occupational phases in the context of measures of active employment promotion under SGB III are measures which focus on integration in the training and labour market. Measures under the Third Social Code are those in which either the customer of BA is assigned an education provider or attend a course at an educational institution whose funding was assured

by the BA by the education vouchers. The legislature considered it unnecessary to include these professional phases in the catalogue of § 22 para. 1 sentence 2.

In this context, the statutory minimum wage has no effect in the case of grants for continuing vocational training pursuant to §§ 81 ff SGB III. Persons who complete an in-company learning phase as part of a continuing vocational training course pursuant to § 180 SGB III are not covered by the scope of this Act, pursuant to § 22 MiLoG.

The prerequisite for funding is that the institution (or provider of the measure) is authorised pursuant to § 176 SGB III and the measure has been approved pursuant to §§ 179, 180 SGB III. Specifically, this means that a measure carrier (such as the mibeg-Institute) can devise a measure that can consist of the imparting of theoretical knowledge and occupational-practical phases, and which is approved by a specialist body. These occupational phases are not subject to the obligation to pay the minimum wage.

The same applies in the case **of measures for activation and vocational integration** pursuant to § 45 SGB III. Here too, the applicable statutory minimum wage has no effect on the measure or measures implemented by or with an employer. They do not constitute an employment relationship and are not carried out in the same way as an internship.

Paid employment: In all cases where there is no shadowing nor internship, an employment relationship with the corresponding legal consequences must be given in the affirmative.